

1996 EPA decision on No Further Action: Whitestone
Drum Site

March 1996 document showing USEPA decided that no further remedial site assessment under CERCLA was necessary.

Basis was its rural nature, sparse population and lack of targets (i.e. low environmental and health risk)

REMEDIAL SITE ASSESSMENT DECISION - EPA REGION IV

56004

Site Name: Whitestone Drum Dump

EPA ID#: SC0 001 238 328

Bm

Alias Site Names: _____

City: Pacolet

County or Parish: Union

State: SC

Refer to Report Dated: March 29, 1996

Report type: PA

Report developed by: SCDHEC

DECISION:

☒ 1. Further Remedial Site Assessment under CERCLA (Superfund) is not required because:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1a. Site does not qualify for further remedial site assessment under CERCLA (No Further Remedial Action Planned - NFRAP)	<input type="checkbox"/> 1b. Site may qualify for further action, but is deferred to:	<input type="checkbox"/> RCRA <input type="checkbox"/> NRC
--	---	---

☐ 2. Further Assessment Needed Under CERCLA: 2a. (optional) Priority: ☐ Higher ☒ Lower

2b. Activity	<input type="checkbox"/> PA	<input type="checkbox"/> ESI
Type:	<input type="checkbox"/> SI	<input type="checkbox"/> HRS evaluation

☐ Other: _____

DISCUSSION/RATIONALE:

Site was recommended LOW by SCDHEC. Because there were believed to be drums present onsite, SC Preremedial Workgroup (EPA Preremedial Team + SC Site Assmt. Section) pursued further sampling by EPA Emergency Response to see if Site qualified for removal. Sampling in July 1996 did not find major contamination and the site does not qualify for removal; only scattered piles of drum pieces remain onsite and there are no signs of stressed vegetation visible. Given the rural and sparsely populated nature of the area and the lack of targets, NFRAP.

Report Reviewed

and Approved by: Ralph O. Howard, Jr. Signature: Ralph O. Howard, Jr. Date: 9-26-96

Site Decision

Made by: Ralph O. Howard, Jr. Signature: Ralph O. Howard, Jr. Date: 9-26-96